УДК 512.542

О Р-СВОЙСТВЕ ПОДГРУПП КОНЕЧНЫХ ГРУПП

Баожун Ли, Амир Лиу

Колледж прикладной математики, Ченгдуйский университет информационной технологии, Ченгду, Китай

ON P-PROPERTY OF SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

Baojun Li, Aming Liu

College of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu, China

Пусть H — подгруппа группы G. Мы говором, что H имеет P-свойство в G, если $|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$ является p-числом для любого pd-главного фактора L/K группы G. Используя это понятие, найдены некоторые новые критерии p-нильпотентности групп.

Ключевые слова: коненая группа, р-нильпотентная группа, Р-свойство подгруппы.

Let H be a subgroup of a group G. We say that H has P-property in G if $|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$ is a p-number for any pd-chief factor L/K of G. Using this property of subgroups, some new criterions of p-nilpotency of groups are obtained.

Keywords: finite group, p-nilpotent group, P-property of subgroup.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups considered are finite and G always denotes a finite group. We use $\pi(G)$ to denote the set of all prime divisors of the order |G| of G, π denotes a set of some primes and π' is the complement of π in the set \mathbb{P} of all primes. An integer n is called a π -number if all its prime divisors belong to π . G is said to be a π -group if $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$. G is called a πd -group if $\pi(G) \cap \pi \neq \emptyset$. A class \mathfrak{F} of groups is called a formation if \mathfrak{F} is closed under taking homomorphic image and subdirect product. A formation \mathfrak{F} is said to be saturated if it contains every group G with $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. All unexplained notions and terminology are standard, as in [1], [2] or [3].

Recall that a subgroup A of G is said to permute with a subgroup B if AB = BA. It is known that AB is a subgroup of G if and only if A permutes with B. Thus the permutability of subgroups is very important. A subgroup H of G is called quasinormal [4] or permutable [3] in G if H permutes with all subgroups of G. If H permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G, then H is called spermutable in G [5]. After the work in [5], [6], many authors attempted to study and apply other kinds of embedding properties of subgroups. For instance, a subgroup H in G is called seminormal [7], [8] if H is permutable with all subgroups of some supplement of H in G; A subgroup H of Gis called semipermutable in G [9] if it is permutable with every subgroup K of G with (|H|, |K|) = 1;

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be Squasinormally embedded in G [10] if for each prime p dividing |H|, a Sylow p-subgroup of H is also a Sylow p-subgroup of some s-permutable subgroup of G; A subgroup H of G is said be conditionally permutable in G if H permutes with some conjugate of any subgroup of G [11]. More recently, G Guo and G A.N. Skiba [12] studied the structure of group by s-embedded and G n-embedded subgroups.

It is known that if a p-group H is s-permutable in G then $|G:N_G(H)|$ is a p-number. Generalizing this property we give the following definition:

Definition 0.1. Let H be a subgroup of G. We call that H has P-property in G if for any pd-chief factor L/K of G, $|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$ is a p-number.

In any finite soluble group G, it is easy to see that all permutable and s-permutable subgroups have P-property in G. Also, suppose that H is a p-subgroup of a group G (G is not necessary soluble), then H has P-property if H is seminormal, semipermutable, s-quasinormal embedded or so on in G (see proofs in Section 1).

The subgroups having P-property deeply influence the structure of a group. In this paper, we shall give some new criteria of p-nilpotency of groups.

2 Elementary Properties

In this section, we give some elementary results of *P*-property of subgroups, some of which are also lemmas of our main results.

Lemma 1.1. Let H be a subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G. If H has P-property in G, then HN/N has P-property in G/N.

Proof. (1) Let (L/N)/(K/N) be a *pd*-chief factor of G/N. Then L/K is a *pd*-chief factor of G and hence $|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$ is a *p*-number. It follows directly that

$$|(G/N)/(K/N)|$$
:

 $N_{(G/N)/(K/N)}((HK/N)/(K/N)) \cap (L/N)/(K/N))$ is a *p*-number and hence the lemma holds.

Let X be a subset of G, a subgroup H is said X-permutable with T if there is an element $x \in X$ such that $HT^x = T^x H$ (cf. [13]).

Proposition 1.2. Let H be a p-subgroup of G. Then H has P-property in G if one of the following holds:

- (1) H is normal in G;
- (2) H is permutable in G;
- (3) *H* is s-permutable in G;
- (4) H is X-permutable with all Sylow subgroups of G, where X is a soluble normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Since all normal subgroups, all permutable subgroups and all s-permutable subgroups in G are X-permutable with all Sylow subgroups in G for any subgroup X of G, we only need to prove that H satisfies P-property in G when (4) holds. Let L/K be any pd-chief factor of G. Then HK/K is XK/K-permutable with all Sylow subgroups of G/K and XK/K is a soluble normal subgroup of G/K. If $K \neq 1$, then, by induction on |G|, we can assume that HK/K satisfies P-property in G/K and hence

$$|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$$

is a p-number. Assume that K = 1. Then L is minimal normal in G. Assume that L is abelian. Then L is a p-subgroup. If $H \cap L = 1$, then it holds clearly that $|G:N_G(H\cap L)|=1$ is a p-number. Assume that $H \cap L \neq 1$. We claim that $|G: N_G(H \cap L)|$ is a p-number. Let q be any prime divisor of |G|with $q \neq p$ and G_q a Sylow q-subgroup of G. Then there is an element $x \in X$ such that $HG_q^x = G_q^x H$. Since L is a normal p-group, we have that $L \cap HG_q^x = L \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of HG_q^x . Hence $G_q^x \subseteq N_G(H \cap L)$ and so $|G:N_G(H \cap L)|$ is a q'-number. Now, by the choice of q, we have obtained that $|G:N_G(H\cap L)|$ is a *p*-number when L is abelian. Assume that L is nonabelian. Then $L \cap X = 1$ and hence $X \subseteq C_G(L)$. Let q be any prime divisor of |L| and Q a Sylow q-subgroup of L. Put G_q be a Sylow q-subgroup of G such that $Q \le G_q$. By hypotheses, there is an element $x \in X$

such that $HG_q^x = G_q^x H$. Clearly, $(|HG_q^x:H|,|HG_q^x:G_q^x|) = 1$ and $L \cap HG_q^x \leq HG_q^x$. By [2, Lemma 3.8.2], $L \cap HG_q^x = (L \cap HG_q^x \cap H)(L \cap HG_q^x \cap G_q^x) = 0$

 $= (H \cap L)(L \cap G_a^x) = (H \cap L)Q^x.$

Since $X \subseteq C_G(L)$, $Q^x = Q$ and so $H \cap L$ permutes with Q. By the choice of Q, we see that $H \cap L$ is s-permutable in L and so is subnormal in L. Since L is minimal normal in G, L is a product of some simple groups. Thus $H \cap L \unlhd L$. Now consider that q is a prime different from p. Then $H \cap L$ char $(H \cap L)Q$ since $(H \cap L)Q \subseteq L$. On the other hand, $(H \cap L)Q = L \cap HG_q^x \unlhd HG_q^x$ by the above argument. Thus $G_q^x \subseteq N_G(H \cap L)$ and hence $|G:N_G(H \cap L)|$ is a Q-number for any $Q \notin \pi(H \cap L)$. Therefore, $|G:N_G(H \cap L)|$ is a Q-number and the proposition holds.

Proposition 1.3. Let H be a p-subgroup of G. If H is seminormal or semipermutable in G, then H satisfies P-property in G.

Proof. Assume that H is seminormal in G and let T be a supplement of H in G such that Hpermutes with all subgroup of T. Let q be any prime divisor of |G| different from p and G_q an arbitrary Sylow q-subgroup of G. Then $G_q^x \subseteq T$ for some $x \in H$ since G = HT and H is a p-subgroup. Thus H permutes with G_q^x and so $(HG_q)^x = HG_q^x$ is a subgroup of G. It follows that H permutes with G_q . Let L/K be any pd-chief factor of G. Clearly, HK/K is seminormal in G/K. If $K \neq 1$, then, by induction on |G|, we can assume that HK/K satisfies P-property in G/K and hence $|G/K:N_{G/K}(HK/K\cap L/K)|$ is a *p*-number. Assume that K = 1. Then L is minimal normal in G. If L is abelian, then it can be obtained that $|G:N_G(H\cap L)|$ is a p-number by an argument as in Proposition 1.2. Assume that L is nonabelian. We claim that $H \cap L = 1$. Let Q be any Sylow q-subgroup of L and choose G_q to be a Sylow q-subgroup of G containing Q. Then $HG_q = G_qH$ and, by [2, Lemma 3.8.2],

 $L \cap HG_a = (L \cap H)(L \cap G_a) = (H \cap L)Q.$

This induce that $H \cap L$ permutes with all Sylow q-subgroup of L. Since L is nonabelian, $(H \cap L)Q \neq L$ by Burnside p^aq^b -Theorem. It follows from [14, Theorem 3] that there is a proper normal subgroup N of L such that $H \cap L \subseteq N$ or $Q \subseteq N$. But $Q \subseteq N$ is nonsense since Q is a Sylow

q-subgroup of L and L is a direct product of some nonabelian simple groups which are isomorphic to each other. Hence $H \cap L \subseteq N$. Repeat this argument, we can find finally that $H \cap L$ is subnormal in L. Thus $H \cap L \subseteq O_p(L) = 1$. It follows that $H \cap L \trianglelefteq G$ and, certainly $|G:N_G(H \cap L)|$ is a p-number. Similarly, one can prove that H satisfies P-property in G if H is semipermutable in G and the proposition holds.

Lemma 1.4. Let H be a p-subgroup of G and N a minimal normal subgroup of G. Assume that H has P-property in G. If there is a Sylow p-sub-group G_p of G such that $H \cap N \subseteq G_p$, then $H \cap N = N$ or I.

Proof. Since H has P-property in G, $|G:N_G(H\cap N)|$ is a p-number. On the other hand, since $H\cap N \unlhd G_p$, $|G:N_G(H\cap N)|$ is a p'-number. Thereby, $H\cap N$ is normal in G and it follows that $H\cap N=N$ or 1 since N is minimal normal in G and the lemma holds.

Lemma 1.5. Let H be a p-subgroup of G for some prime divisor p of |G| and assume that H has P-property in G. Then any G-chief factor L/K which does not avoid by H is a p-factor and hence is abelian.

Proof. Assume that L/K is a G-chief factor which does not avoid by H. Then (L/K)/(K/K) is a chief factor of G/K and does not avoid by HK/K. Since HK/K satisfies P-property in G/K by lemma 1.1, we can obtain that $L/K \cong (L/K)/(K/K)$ is a p-factor by induction on |G| if $K \ne 1$. Assume that K = 1. Since H has P-property in G, $|G:N_G(H\cap L)|$ is a p-number. This induces that $G = G_pN_G(H\cap L)$, where G_p is a Sylow p-subgroup of G contained $H\cap L$. By [2, Lemma 3.4.9], $(H\cap L)^G \subseteq G_p$ and hence $(H\cap L)^G \subseteq O_p(G)$. It follows that $L \subseteq O_p(G)$ since $L\cap O_p(G) \supseteq H\cap L \ne 1$ and L is minimal normal in G. Thus the lemma holds.

Recall that a group is called a C_π -group if it has at least one Hall π -subgroups and all its Hall π -subgroups are conjugate.

Lemma 1.6. Let G be a C_{π} -group and $p \notin \pi$. Assume that P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. If every maximal subgroup of P (except one) has a π -closed supplement in G, then G is π -closed.

Proof. It can be proved similar to Lemma 2.2 in [15] by choose Q to be a Hall π -subgroup of G.

2 Some Results

Theorem 2.1. Let p be a minimal prime divisor of |G|, E be a normal subgroup of G such that G/E is p-nilpotent. Suppose that P is a Sylow

p-subgroup of E. If there is a subgroup D of P with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup H in $\Sigma_D = \{H \subseteq P \mid |H| = |D|, or |H| = 2 \mid D| \text{ and } \exp(H) > 2 \text{ whenever } P \text{ is nonabelian 2-group and } |P:D| > 2\}$ either has a p-nilpotent supplement in G or P-property in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Assume that the theorem does not hold and choose G to be a counter example of minimal order. We divide the proof into the following steps.

(1)
$$O_{n'}(G) = 1$$
.

If $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$, then the hypotheses still hold on $G/O_{p'}(G)$ by Lemma 1.1. It follows from the choice of G that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p-nilpotent and so is G.

(2)
$$O_n(E) = O_n(G) \cap E \neq 1$$
.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of Gwith $N \subseteq E$. Then $p \mid \mid N \mid$ by (1). Let G_p be a Sylow p-subgroup of G with $P \subseteq G_p$. If there is a subgroup H of order |D| such that $1 \neq H \cap N \subseteq G_n$ and H has P-property in G, then N is a p-group by lemma 1.5 and hence $O_n(E) \neq 1$. Assume that any such subgroup H is not P-normal in G. Then H have a p-nilpotent supplement in G. Let P_1 be any maximal subgroup of G_p . If $P_1 \cap N \neq 1$, then there must be a subgroup $H \subseteq P \cap P_1$ of order |D|with $1 \neq H \cap N \subseteq G_n$. In fact, if $|D| < P_1 \cap N$, then we can choose H to be a subgroup of $P_1 \cap N$, otherwise, we can choose H with $P_1 \cap N \le H \le P \cap P_1$ since $|D| \le |P \cap P_1|$. Thereby, H and so P_1 has a *p*-nilpotent supplement in G. If $N_p = G_p \cap N$, which is a Sylow p-subgroup of N, is not of order p, then, for every maximal subgroup P_1 of G_p , we have $P_1 \cap N = P_1 \cap N_p \neq 1$. By the above argument, every maximal subgroup P_1 of G_p has a p-nilpotent supplement in G. If p > 2, then G is soluble and so is a $C_{p'}$ -group. If p = 2, then G is a $C_{p'}$ -group by [16, Main Theorem]. Now, by Lemma 1.6, G is p'-closed and so is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. Assume that N_p is cyclic of order p. Then N is simple since N is minimal normal in G. But p is the minimal prime divisor of |G| and so is of |N|. Thus N is soluble since N_p is cyclic. It follows that |N| = p and hence $O_p(E) \supseteq N \neq 1$.

 $O_p(E) = O_p(G) \cap E$ is clear and (2) holds.

(3) Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G with $N \subseteq O_p(E)$. Then |N|=|D|.

If |N| > |D|, then there is a subgroup H of N

such that |H|=|D| and $H extstyle G_p$. If H has P-property in G, then $H=H\cap N=N$ or 1 by lemma 1.4, a contradiction. Assume that H has a p-nilpotent supplement U in G. Then G=HU=NU and it follows that $N\cap U\neq 1$. Clearly, $N\cap U extstyle G$, so $N\subseteq U$ and G=U is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus we can assume that $|N|\leq |D|$. If |N|<|D|, then, by lemma 1.1, we see that the hypotheses hold on G/N and, by the choice of G, G/N is p-nilpotent. If $N\subseteq \Phi(G)$, then G is p-nilpotent since the formation of all p-nilpotent group is saturated. Assume that $N\nsubseteq \Phi(G)$. Then N is complemented in G. Let X be a complement of N in G and Q a Hall p'-subgroup of $X\cap E$. Then, since

 $X \cap E \cong (X \cap E)N / N = E / N \le G / N$ is *p*-nilpotent, NQ/N char $E/N \le G/N$ and so $NQ \le G$. By Frattini Argument,

$$G = (NQ)N_G(Q) = NN_G(Q).$$

Since $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, $N_G(Q) \neq G$. Hence $G_p \nsubseteq N_G(Q)$ and so $G_p \cap N_G(Q) < G_p$. Assume that P_1 is a maximal subgroup of G_p containing $G_p \cap N_G(Q)$. If $N \subseteq P_1$, then

 $G_p = G_p \cap NN_G(Q) = N(G_p \cap N_G(Q)) \subseteq NP_1 = P_1,$ a contradiction. Thus $N \nsubseteq P_1$. Since P_1 is maximal in G_p , $N \cap P_1$ is maximal in N and $N \cap P_1 \unlhd G_p$. Clearly, $P \cap P_1$ is maximal in P and

$$|N \cap P_1| < |N| < |D| \le |P \cap P_1|$$

so there is a subgroup H of P_1 such that $N \cap P_1 < H \le P \cap P_1$ and |H| = |D|. Thus $N \cap P_1 =$ $= N \cap H$. If H has P-property in G, then, by lemma 1.4, $N \cap P_1 = N \cap H = 1$ N. $N \cap P_1 = N$, then $N \subseteq P_1$, a contradiction. Thus $N \cap P_1 = 1$. It follows that N is cyclic of order p and therefore, G is p-nilpotent since G/N is p-nilpotent and p is a minimal prime divisor of |G|. This contradicts to the choice of G. By hypotheses, H has a p-nilpotent supplement in G and so has a *p*-nilpotent supplement in E. Assume that E = HUfor some p-nilpotent subgroup U of E. Then Ucontains some conjugate of Q since E is clearly p-soluble and U contains some Hall p'-subgroup of E. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q \subseteq U$. Hence $U \subseteq N_G(Q)$. Since

$$E = HU = (P \cap P_1)U,$$

$$P = P \cap E = P \cap (P \cap P_1)U =$$

$$= (P \cap P_1)(P \cap U) =$$

$$= (P \cap P_1)(P \cap N_G(Q) \cap U) \le$$

$$\le (P \cap P_1)(P_1 \cap U) = P \cap P_1.$$

It follows that $P \subseteq P_1$ and so $N \subseteq P \subseteq P_1$, a contradiction. This contradiction shows that (3) holds.

(4) N is cyclic and so |D|=|N|=p.

Assume that N is noncyclic. We claim that all minimal subgroup of E/N of order p either has p-nilpotent supplement in G or has P-property in G. Assume A/N is of order p and $A/N \subset E/N$. Clearly, A is noncyclic since N is. Thus there is a maximal subgroup H of A different from N. Therefore, A/N = HN/N and |H| = |N| = |D|. If A/N has no p-nilpotent supplement in G/N, then clearly, H has no p-nilpotent supplement in G. Hence, by hypotheses, H has P-property in G. By lemma 1.1, A/N = HN/N has P-property in G/N. Analogously, one can prove that if P is a nonabelian 2-group and |P:D| > 2, then every cyclic subgroup of P/N of order 4 either has a p-nilpotent supplement in G/N or has P-property in G/N. Thus, if N is noncyclic, then the hypotheses hold on G/N. Thereby, G/N is p-nilpotent by the choice of G. By a similar argument as in (3), one can prove that G is p-nilpotent. This contradicts to the choice of G and hence N is cyclic and |N| = |D| = p.

(5)
$$O_n(E) \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$$
.

Since p is the minimal divisor of G, it is equivalent to prove that every G-chief factor L/K in $O_p(E)$ is of prime order.

Assume that there exists a G-chief factor in $O_p(G)$ which is not of prime order. Then we can choose a G-chief factor L/K in $O_p(G)$ such that |L/K| is not a prime but |U/V| is a prime for all chief factor U/V of G with $U \subseteq P$ and |U| < |L|.

Let
$$W = \bigcap_{U \subseteq K} C_G(U/V)$$
, where U/V is a

G-chief factor. Then, by [3, A, (12.3)], all elements in W of p'-order act trivially on K since they act trivially on each G-chief factor of K. Let $C = C_G(K)$.

Assume $L \nsubseteq C$. If $L \subseteq KC$, then

$$L \cap C / K \cap C \cong L / K$$

is a chief factor of G. By the choice of L/K, $|L/K| = |L \cap C/K \cap C|$ is a prime, a contradiction. If $L \nsubseteq KC$, then it is easily to see that

$$LC / K = L / K \times KC / K$$

and thereby, all p'-elements in C act trivially on L/K. It follows that all p'-elements in W act trivially on L/K. Hence $W \subseteq C_G(L/K)$. Since $G/W = G/\bigcap_{U \subseteq K} C_G(U/V)$ is an abelian group of

exponent dividing p-1 and $W \subseteq C_G(L/K)$, $G/C_G(L/K)$ is an abelian group of exponent

dividing p-1. Since L/K is G-irreducible, L/K is of prime order by [17, I, Lemma 1.3], a contradiction.

Now assume that $L \subseteq C$. Then $K \subseteq Z(L)$. Let a, b be elements of order p in L. Suppose p > 2or *P* is abelian. Then $(ab)^{p} = a^{p}b^{p}[b,a]^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} = 1$. Hence the product of elements of order p is still of order p and so $\Omega = \{a \in L \mid a^p = p\}$ is a subgroup of L. If $\Omega \subseteq K$, then all elements of W with p'-order act trivially on every element of L of order p since they act trivially on K. It follows from [18, IV, Satz 5.12] that all elements in W of p'-order act trivially on L. Thus $W \subseteq C_G(L/K)$ and, as above argument, L/K is of prime order, a contradiction. If $\Omega \nsubseteq K$, then $L = \Omega K$. Choose an element a in $\Omega \setminus K$ such that $\langle a \rangle K / K \subseteq L / K \cap Z(G_n / K)$. Let $H = \langle a \rangle$. If H has a p-nilpotent supplement U in G, then HK/K has a p-nilpotent supplement UK/K in G/K. Thus

G/K = (HK/K)(UK/K) = (L/K)(UK/K). Since L/K is minimal normal in G/K and is abelian, $L/K \cap UK/K = 1$ or $L/K \subseteq UK/K$ and UK/K = G/K. If $L/K \cap UK/K = 1$, then

$$|L/K| = |G/K: UK/K| =$$

= $|HUK/K: UK/K| \le |H| = p$.

It follows that L/K is cyclic of order p, which contradicts to the choice of L/K. If $L/K \subseteq UK/K = G/K$, then L/K is cyclic since L/K is minimal normal in G/K and $G/K = UK/K \cong U/U \cap K$ is p-nilpotent. Hence H has no p-nilpotent supplement in G. Since a is of order p, by the hypotheses and (4), H has P-property in G and so HK/K satisfies P-property in G/K. It follows from lemma 1.4 that

 $L/K = HK/K \cap L/K = HK/K$ is cyclic, a contradiction. This contradiction shows that (5) holds.

(6) E is p-nilpotent.

Assume that E is not p-nilpotent. Then E is not a p-group and so $O_p(E) < E$. Let $R/O_p(E)$ be a G-chief factor with $R \le E$. Then, clearly, R is not p-nilpotent. Let X be a minimal non-p-nilpotent subgroup of R. Then $X = A \rtimes B$, where A is a p-group of exponent p or 4 (when A is a nonabelian 2-group) and B is a p'-group. If $A \subseteq O_p(E)$, then B acts trivially on A by (5), a contradiction. Thus $A \nsubseteq O_p(E)$. Hence, if p > 2 or P is abelian, then there are elements of order p in $R \setminus O_p(E)$, and, if P is a nonabelian 2-group, then there are elements of order 2 or 4 in $R \setminus O_p(E)$. Assume that there is an element a of order p in $R \setminus O_p(E)$ and let

 $H=\langle a \rangle$. Assume that H has a p-nilpotent supplement U in G. If $H \subseteq U$, then G=U is p-nilpotent. If $H \nsubseteq U$, then |G:U|=|H|=p. Since p is the minimal prime divisor of |E|, $U \unlhd G$. It follows that G is p-nilpotent since U is. Thus H has no p-nilpotent supplement in G. By hypotheses and (4), H has P-property in G. It follows that $HO_p(G)/O_p(G)$ has P-property in $G/O_p(G)$. Since $H \nsubseteq O_p(G)$, we have that

$$R/O_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(G) = HO_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(G)/O_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(G)$$

by Lemma 1.4. Thus $R = HO_p(G)$ is a p-group, a contradiction. Thus there is no element of order p in $R \setminus O_p(E)$. Hence p = 2 and there is an element a of order 4 in $R \setminus O_p(E)$ and $a^2 \in O_p(G)$. By a similar argument as above, we can get a contradiction and hence E must be p-nilpotent.

(7) The final contradiction

By (1) and (6), we have that E is a p-group and hence $E \le Z_{\infty}(G)$ by (5). Again by (5), we see that G is p-nilpotent since G/E is. This is the final contradiction and the theorem holds.

By Theorem 2.1, we can obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.2. Let p be the minimal prime divisor of |G| and E be a normal subgroup of G such that G/E is p-nilpotent. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E. If every maximal subgroup of P either has a p-nilpotent supplement in G or has P-property in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. If P is of order p, then E is clear p-nilpotent. By induction, we can assume that $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. It follows that E is a p-group and so is of order p. By N/C-Theorem, $G/C_G(E)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of Aut(E) and hence is of order dividing p-1. Since p is the minimal prime divisor of |G|, we see that $G/C_G(E) = 1$ and so $E \le Z(G)$. Thus G is p-nilpotent since G/E is. If P is not of order p, then its maximal subgroup is not trivial and hence G is p-nilpotent by Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. Let p be the minimal prime divisor of |G| and E be a normal subgroup of G such that G/E is p-nilpotent. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E. If every subgroup of P of order 2 and 4 (if P is a nonabelian 2-group) either has a p-nilpotent supplement in G or P-property in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. It can be proved similar as Corollary 2.2. The following corollary is direct from Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. Let p be the minimal prime divisor of |G| and E be a normal subgroup of G

such that G/E is p-nilpotent. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E. If there is a subgroup D of P with 1 < |D| < |P| such that for every subgroup H in $\Sigma_D = \{H \subseteq P \mid |H| = |D|, \text{ or } |H| = 2 \mid D| \text{ and } \exp(H) > 2 \text{ whenever } P \text{ is nonabelian 2-group and } |P:D| > 2\}, one of the following holds:$

- (1) H is s-permutable in G;
- (2) H is seminormal in G;
- (3) *H* is semipermutable in G;
- (4) H is X-permutable in G, where X is a soluble normal subgroup of G;
- (5) H has a p-nilpotent supplement in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

REFERENCES

- 1. *Shemetkov*, *L.A.* Formations of Algebraic Systems / L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba. Moscow: Nauka, 1989.
- 2. *Guo*, *W*. The theory of classes of groups / W. Guo. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 2000.
- 3. *Doerk*, *K*. Finite soluble groups / K. Doerk, T. Hawkes. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1992.
- 4. *Ore*, *O*. Contributions to the theory of groups of finite order / O. Ore // Duke Math. J. 1939. Vol. 5, No. 2. P. 431-460.
- 5. *Kegel*, *O*. Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen / O. Kegel // Math. Z. $-1962. N \cdot 78. P. 205-221.$
- 6. *Deskins*, *W*. On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups / W. Deskins // Math. Z. 1963. № 82. P. 125–132.
- 7. Su, X. Seminormal subgroups of finite groups / X. Su // J. Math. (Wuhan). 1988. Vol. 8, N0 1. P. 5–10.
- 8. *Foguel*, *T*. On seminormal subgroups / T. Foguel // J. Algebra. 1994. Vol. 165, № 3. P. 633–635.

- 9. *Wang*, *L*. On s-semipermutable maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow *p*-subgroups of finite groups / L. Wang, Y. Wang // Comm. Algebra. 2006. Vol. 34, № 1. P. 143–149.
- 10. Ballester-Bolinches, A. Sufficient conditions for supersolubility of finite groups / A. Ballester-Bolinches, M.C. Pedraza-Aguilera // J. Pure Appl. Algebra. $-1998.-Vol.\ 127,\ Ne\ 2.-P.\ 113-118.$
- 11. *Guo*, *W*. Conditionally permutable subgroups and supersolubility of finite groups / W. Guo, K.P. Shum, A.N. Skiba // Southeast Asian Bull. Math. -2005. № 29. P. 493–510.
- 12. *Guo*, *W*. Finite groups with given s-embedded and *n*-embedded subgroups / W. Guo, A.N. Skiba // Journal of Algebra. 2009. Vol. 321, № 10. P. 2843–2860.
- 13. *Guo*, *W*. *X*-permutable maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups / W. Guo, K.P. Shum, A.N. Skiba //. Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. 2006. Vol. 58, № 10. P. 1299–1309.
- 14. *Kegel*, *O*. Produkte nilpotenter gruppen / O. Kegel // Arch. Math. 1961. № 12. P. 90C93.
- 15. *Skiba*, *A*. On weakly s-permutable subgroups of finite groups / A. Skiba // J. Algebra, Vol. 315, N 1. P. 192–209.
- 16. *Gross*, *F*. Conjugacy of odd order Hall subgroups / F. Gross // Bull. London Math. Soc. 1987. Vol. 19, № 4. P. 311–319.
- 17. *Weinstein*, *M*. Between nilpotent and solvable / M. Weinstein (ed.). Washington: Polygonal Publ. House, N. J., 1982.
- 18. *Huppert*, *B*. Endliche Gruppen. I. / B. Huppert. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1967.

The author were supported by the NNSF of P.R. China (Grant 11471055) and the Scientific Research Foundation of CUIT (Grant CSRF201008).

Поступила в редакцию 29.07.14.